c.s.1001 — 3

SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 6270 /2005

PETITIONER:

S.K. Soni,
aged about 44 years,
son of Shri B.P. Soni,
Additional Executive Engineer,
Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board,
Korba (West), Distt. Korba (C.G.),
R/o. Qtr No. C/114, Vidyut Nagar,
Korba (West), Distt. Korba (C.G.)

P.R. No. 6316/05
Presented by Shri Someen Sahu
dated 9/12/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

1] Chief Engineer
Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board,
Korba (West),. Distt. Korba (C.G.)

2) Chief Engineer,
Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board,
Danganiya, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

3) Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board,
Through – Secretary,
C.S.E.B., Danganiya, Raipur (C.G.)

4) Shri O.P. Khandelwal,
Executive Engineer (Store)
C.S.E.B., Korba (West), Korba (CG)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

76

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... WP 6270/05 ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	13-2-2006 Shri Ashok Das Vaishnav, counsel for the petitioner. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide Annexure-P/1 dated 22-4-2004 charge sheet has been issued to the petitioner. He further submits that after conducting enquiry vide Annexure-P/5 dated 13-1-2005 the enquiry officer submitted his report. Learned counsel submits that charges No. 1 and 2 are proved whereas charges No. 3 and 4 are not proved. It is submitted that penalty of stoppage of one increment has been imposed on the petitioner vide Annexure-P/6 dated 29-1-2005. The order is appealable, but the petitioner has not preferred any appeal as required and only submitted representations vide Annexure-P/7 and Annexure-P/9. At this stage, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation afresh before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation afresh raising his grievances before the competent authority of respondents alongwith documents, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the representation. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	